arXiv:math/0603747v1 [math.GR] 31 Mar 2006

# SPLITTING THE AUTOMORPHISM GROUP OF AN ABELIAN P-GROUP

MARIA ALICIA AVIÑO

Abstract. Let G be an abelian p-group sum of finite homocyclic groups $G_i$. Here, we determine in which cases the automorphism group of G splits over ker$\sigma$, where $\sigma$ :Aut$(G) \to \prod_i$Aut$(G_i/pG_i)$ is the natural epimorphism.

## 1. Preliminaries

Throghout this paper, $p$ is an arbitrary prime and $r$ is a fixed ordinal number. Let $G = \oplus_{i \leq r} G_i$ be an abelian p-group, such that $G_i$ is an homocyclic group of exponent $p^{n_i}$ and finite p-rank $r_i$, with $n_i < n_{i+1}$ for all $i$. It is known that $\mathcal{E}$ the endomorphism ring of G is isomorphic to the ring $E(G)$ of all row finite $r \times r$-matrices $(A_{ij})$ where $A_{ij} \in Hom(G_i, G_j)$. We denote by $\mathcal{A}(H)$, the automorphism group of a group $H$ and consider $\mathcal{A}(H)$ as the group of units of the endomorphism ring $E(H)$.

Let $\sigma$ be the natural epimorphism of $\mathcal{A}(G)$ onto the product of the $\mathcal{A}(G_i/pG_i)$. We have the following exact sequence (see [2], page 256)

$$(1) \qquad 1 \to ker\sigma \to \mathcal{A}(G) \to \prod_i \mathcal{A}(G_i/pG_i) \cong \prod_i GL_{r_i}(\mathbf{Z}_p) \to 1,$$

where $GL_{r_i}(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ is the general linear group of $r_i \times r_i$-matrices over the field $\mathbf{Z}_p$.

In this paper we prove a Theorem which together with Theorem 1.1 proved in [1] and [3], give a necessary and sufficient condition for the decomposition of $\mathcal{A}(G)$ as a semidirect product of ker$\sigma$=$\Delta(G)$ by $\Pi(G) = \prod_i GL_{r_i}(\mathbf{Z}_p)$, whenever $p \geq 5$. For the cases $p = 2, 3$ we give sufficient and necessary conditions for such decomposition in case $n_i + 1 < n_{i+1}$ for all $i$.

Because the p-rank of $G_i$ is finite, $Hom(G_i, G_j) \cong p^{n_j - n_i} M_{r_i \times r_j}(\mathbf{Z}_{p^{n_i}})$ for $i < j$, where $M_{r_i \times r_j}(\mathbf{Z}_{p^{n_i}})$ denotes the additive group of $r_i \times r_j-$matrices over the integers modulo $p^{n_i}$.

Evidently $\Delta(G) = 1 + I$ where

$$I = \{(A_{ij})_{r \times r} \in E(G) | A_{ii} \equiv 0 (\text{mod } p), \text{ for all } i\}.$$

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 20K10, 20K30, 20F28.

*Key words and phrases.* abelian $p$–groups, endomorphism rings, automorphism group.

If $r$ is a natural number, then $G$ is finite and because $GL_{r_i}(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ does not have any normal p-subgroup, $\Delta(G)$ is the maximal normal p-subgroup of $\mathcal{A}(G)$, denoted $O_p(\mathcal{A}(G))$.

Consider the exact sequences

$$1 \to ker\lambda_i \to \mathcal{A}(G_i) \to GL_{r_i}(\mathbf{Z}_p) \to 1 \tag{2i}$$

where $GL_{r_i}(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ is the general linear group of $r_i \times r_i$-matrices over the field $\mathbf{Z}_p$. It follows that $ker\lambda_i = J(\text{End } G_i) + 1_i = O_p(\mathcal{A}G_i) = \Delta(G_i)$.

By Theorem I, II.2 and III.4 in [1] and the Theorem in [3] we have the following.

**Theorem 1.1.** *1.1.1) For* $p \geq 5$, *the exact sequence (2i) splits if and only if* $G_i$ *is of type either* $(p^{n_i})$ *or* $(p, ..., p)$ *with* $n_i > 1$.

*1.1.2) For* $p = 3$, *the exact sequence (2i) splits if and only if* $G_i$ *is of type* $(3^{n_i})$, $(3^{n_i}, 3^{n_i})$ *or* $(3, ..., 3)$ *with* $n_i > 1$.

*1.1.3) For* $p = 2$ *the exact sequence (2i) splits if and only if* $G_i$ *is of type* $(2^{n_i})$, $(2^{n_i}, 2^{n_i})$, $(2^{n_i}, 2^{n_i}, 2^{n_i})$ *or* $(2, ..., 2)$ *with* $n_i > 1$.

## 2. $\mathcal{A}(G)$ AS SEMIDIRECT PRODUCT OF $\Delta(G)$ AND $\Pi(G)$

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 2.1 .

**Theorem 2.1.** *2.1.1) For* $p \geq 5$, *the exact sequence* (1) *splits if and only if the exact sequences* $(2i)$ *split for all* $i$.

*2.1.2) If* $n_{i+1} - n_i > 1$ *for all* $i$, *the exact sequence* (1) *splits if and only if the exact sequences* $(2i)$ *split for all* $i$.

This Theorem is a consequence of the following lemmas and propositions.

**Proposition 2.2.** *If the exact sequences* $(2i)$ *split for all* $i$, *then the exact sequence* (1) *splits.*

*Proof.* Because the exact sequences $(2_i)$ split for all $i$, there exists a family of morphisms $\{\theta_i : GL_{r_i}(\mathbf{Z}_p) \to \mathcal{A}(G_i)\}_r$ such that $\theta_i\lambda_i = 1_i$, where $1_i$ is the identity of $\mathcal{A}(G_i)$. So we have the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{A}(G) \arrow[r, "\sigma"] & \prod_i GL_{r_i}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \\
\prod_i \mathcal{A}(G_i) \arrow[u, "\iota"] \arrow[r, "\sigma|_\Pi"] \arrow[d, "p_i"] & \prod_i GL_{r_i}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \arrow[u, "|"] \arrow[d, "\delta_i"] \\
\mathcal{A}(G_i) \arrow[r, shift left, "\lambda_i"] & GL_{r_i}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \arrow[l, shift left, "\theta_i"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\iota$ is the canonical monomorphism, $p_i$, $\delta_i$ are the canonical epimorphisms and $\sigma|_\Pi$ is the restriction of $\sigma$ to $\prod_r \mathcal{A}(G_i)$. Now consider the morphisms $f_i = \delta_i\theta_i$, for all $i$.

There exists $\theta : \prod_i GL_{r_i}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \to \prod_r \mathcal{A}(G_i)$ such that $\theta p_i = f_i$ for all $i$, and the diagram commutes. It is clear that $\theta\sigma|_\Pi = 1_\Pi$ where $1_\Pi$ is the identity map of $\prod_i GL_{r_i}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, then $\theta\sigma = 1_\Pi$ so the Proposition holds. $\square$

In the following lemma we consider the exact sequence (1) for the group $pG$.

$$1 \to \Delta(pG) \to \mathcal{A}(pG) \overset{\sigma'}{\to} \Pi(pG) \to 1 \tag{3}$$

**Lemma 2.3.** *If the exact sequence* (1) *splits then the exact sequence* (3) *splits.*

*Proof.* Set $\alpha : G \to pG$ such that $g\alpha = pg$. But $ker\alpha = G[p]$ is the socle of G. This morphism induces a morphism $\tau : \mathcal{A}(G) \to \mathcal{A}(pG)$. Because $\Delta(G)$ is a characteristic subgroup $\Delta(G)\tau \subset \Delta(pG)$ so we have the following exact commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
1 \arrow[r] & \Delta(G) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{A}(G) \arrow[r, "\sigma"] \arrow[d, "\tau"'] & \Pi(G) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\underline{\tau}"'] & 1 \\
1 \arrow[r] & \Delta(pG) \arrow[r] & \mathcal{A}(pG) \arrow[r] & \Pi(pG) \arrow[r] & 1
\end{tikzcd}$$

and two cases

a) If $n_1 > 1$, then $G[p] \subset pG$, so $\Pi(G) = \Pi(pG)$ and $\underline{\tau}$ is the identity. Thus if $\theta$ splits (1) then $\theta\tau$ splits (3).

b) If $n_1 = 1$, then $G_1$ is of type $(p, p, ..., p)$ and $G = G_1 \oplus \overline{G}_2$ where $\overline{G}_2 = \oplus_{i\geq 2} G_i$. Therefore $\Pi(pG) = \Pi(\overline{G}_2)$. Thus, if $\iota$ is the canonical monomorphism of $\Pi(pG)$ to $\Pi(G)$ we have that if $\theta$ splits (1), then the morphism $\iota\theta\tau$ splits (3) and the Lemma holds. □

**Lemma 2.4.** *Set* $\overline{G}_2 = \oplus_{i\geq 2} G_i$. *If* $n_1 = 1$, *then* (1) *splits if and only if the exact sequence* $1 \to \Delta(\overline{G}_2) \to \mathcal{A}(\overline{G}_2) \overset{\sigma_2}{\to} \Pi(\overline{G}_2) \to 1$ *splits.*

*Proof.* Let $g \in G$ and $g = g_1 + g_2$, $g_1 \in G_1$, $g_2 \in \overline{G}_2$. Then $\gamma : G \to \overline{G}_2$ such that $g\gamma = g_2$ is a morphism with $ker\gamma = G_1 \subseteq G[p]$ the socle of $G$. This morphism induces a morphism $\overline{\gamma} : \mathcal{A}(G) \to \mathcal{A}(\overline{G}_2)$.

Here $\iota_2$ is the natural inclusion and $\overline{p}(A_{ii})_r = (A_{ii})_{i>1}$. Then the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{A}(G) \arrow[r, "\sigma"] \arrow[d, shift left, "\overline{\gamma}"] & \Pi(G) \arrow[d, shift left, "\overline{p}"] \\
\mathcal{A}(\overline{G}_2) \arrow[r, "\sigma_2"] \arrow[u, shift left, "\iota_2"] & \Pi(\overline{G}_2) \arrow[u, shift left, "\iota"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

and our claim holds. □

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let* $n_1 = 2$ *and* $n_2 \geq 4$. *If* (1) *splits then* $(2_1)$ *splits.*

*Proof.* Set $A = (A_{ij}) \in \mathcal{A}(G)$, we can define a map $\mu : \mathcal{A}(G) \to \mathcal{A}(G_1)$ so that $\mu(A) = A_{11}$. In this case, the map $\mu$ is a morphism. In fact, if $B = (B_{ij}) \in \mathcal{A}(G)$ then $(BA)_{11} = B_{11}A_{11}$ since $A_{i1} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{n_i - n_1}}$ and $n_i - n_1 \geq 2$ for $i > 1$.

Now, consider the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{A}(G) \arrow[r, "\sigma"] \arrow[d, "\mu"] & \Pi(G) \arrow[d, shift left, "\mu'"] \\
\mathcal{A}(G_1) \arrow[r, "\lambda_1"] & \Pi(G_1) \arrow[u, shift left, "\iota_1"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\mu'$ is the morphism induced by $\mu$, and $\iota_1$ is the canonical monomorphism. If $\theta$ splits (1) then $\iota_1\theta\mu$ splits $(2_1)$. $\square$

**Proposition 2.6.** *If* $n_{i+1} - n_i > 1$ *for all* $i$ *and the exact sequence* (1) *splits then* $(2_i)$ *split for all* $i$.

*Proof.* Suppose there exists $\theta$ such that (1) splits, but there exists $i$ such that $(2_i)$ does not split. Let $t$ be the least $i$ such that $(2_i)$ does not split. We have two cases

a) $t > 1$, so $n_t > 2$. Applying the Lemma 2.3 we can obtain that the exact sequence

$$1 \to \Delta(\underline{G}) \to \mathcal{A}(\underline{G}) \to \Pi(\underline{G}) \to 1$$

splits where $\underline{G} = p^{n_t-2}G = \oplus_{i\geq t}\underline{G}_i$, with $\underline{G}_i = p^{n_t-2}G_i$ for $i \geq t$. But the exponent of $\underline{G}_t$ is $p^2$ and the exponent of $G_{t+1}$ is $\geq p^4$.

Then using Lemma 2.5 we obtain that the exact sequence

$$1 \to \Delta(\underline{G}_t) \to \mathcal{A}(\underline{G}_t) \to \Pi(\underline{G}_t) \to 1$$

splits. But this is impossible because the rank of $\underline{G}_t$ is the same as that of $G_t$, that is $r_t \geq 2$ if $p \geq 5$, $r_t \geq 3$ if $p = 3$ and $r_t \geq 4$ if $p = 2$, contradicting Theorem 1.1.

b) If $t = 1$, but $n_1 \geq 2$, we proceed as in a). If $t = 1$ but $n_1 = 1$, $G_1$ is an elementary abelian group and by Theorem 1.1, $(2_1)$ splits, which is not the case. $\square$

**Proposition 2.7.** *If* $p \geq 5$ *and the exact sequence* (1) *splits then the exact sequences* $(2_i)$ *split, for all* $i$.

*Proof.* Suppose that there exists t such that $(2_t)$ does not split. Then by Theorem 1.1, $G_t$ is a subgroup of exponent $p^{n_t}$, $n_t > 1$ and p-rank $r_t > 1$. Using Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4, we may assume $t = 1$ and $n_t = 2$. Thus we may assume that (1) splits and $(2_1)$ does not split with $n_1 = 2$ and $r_1 \geq 2$.

Let $\overline{A} = 1_\Pi + (E_{1r_1}, 0, ..., 0) \in \Pi(G)$, where $E_{1r_1} = (e_{uv}) \in GL_{r_1}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ such that $e_{1r_1} = 1$ and $e_{uv} = 0$ for $(u, v) \neq (1, r_1)$, and $A = 1 + B = 1 + (B_{ij}) \in \mathcal{A}(G)$, where $B_{11} = E_{1r-1}$ and $B_{ij} = 0$ for $(i, j) \neq (1, 1)$.

If $\theta$ is the monomorphism such that $\theta\sigma = 1_\Pi$, then $\theta(\overline{A}) \equiv A \pmod{\Delta(G)}$. So $\theta(\overline{A}) = A(1 + \overline{C})$ with $1 + \overline{C} \in \Delta(G) = 1 + I$. Set $A\overline{C} = C = (c_{uv}) \in I$, then we have that $\overline{A}\theta = A + C$.

Because the order of $\overline{A}$ is $p$, $1 = (A + C)^p$. Therefore

$$1 = [1 + (B + C)]^p = 1 + p(B + C) + \binom{p}{2}(B + C)^2 + \binom{p}{3}(B + C)^3 +$$

$$..... + \binom{p}{p-1}(B + C)^{p-1} + (B + C)^p$$

Since $(B + C)^2 \in I$, we have that the block $(1, 1)$ of $(B + C)^t$ is 0 for $t \geq 4$ and for the matrices $(B + C)^2$, $(B + C)^3$ the block $(1, 1)$ is congruent to $p$ modulo $p^2$. For $p \geq 5$, we have in the place $(1, r_1)$

$$0 \equiv p(1 + pc_{1r_1}) \pmod{p^2},$$

which is impossible and the proposition holds. ☐

**Corollary 2.8.** *2.8.1) For $p \geq 5$, $\mathcal{A}(G)$ is a semidirect product of $\Delta(G)$ by $\Pi(G)$ if and only if $\mathcal{A}(G_i)$ is a semidirect product of $O_p(\mathcal{A}(G_i))$ by $GL_{r_i}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$.*

*2.8.2) For $p = 3$, $p = 2$ and $n_{i+1} - n_i > 1$, $i$ $\mathcal{A}(G)$ is a semidirect product of $\Delta(G)$ by $\Pi(G)$ if and only if $\mathcal{A}(G_i)$ is a semidirect product of $O_p(\mathcal{A}(G_i))$ by $GL_{r_i}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$.*

**Corollary 2.9.** *$\mathcal{A}(G)$ is a semidirect product of $\Delta(G)$ by $\Pi(G)$ if and only if*

*2.9.1) For $p \geq 5$, $G_i$ has rank $1$ for $i > 1$ and $G_1$ either is elementary abelian or has rank $1$ if $n_1 > 1$.*

*2.9.2) For $p = 3$ and $n_{i+1} - n_i > 1$, for all $i$, $G_i$ has rank $\leq 2$ for $i > 1$ and $G_1$ either is elementary abelian or has rank $\leq 2$ if $n_1 > 1$.*

*2.9.3) For $p = 2$ and $n_{i+1} - n_i > 1$ for all $i$, $G_i$ has rank $\leq 3$ for $i > 1$ and $G_1$ either is elementary abelian or has rank $\leq 3$ if $n_1 > 1$.*

## REFERENCES

[1] Beletskii, P and Morgado, E. *On the automorphism group of a finite abelian p-group.* Ukrain. Mat. Zh. **33** No 5, 589-596.

[2] Fuchs, L. *Infinite Abelian Groups.* Academic Press **Vol II** (1973).

[3] Morgado, E. *On the automorphism group of a finite abelian p-group.* Ukrain. Mat. Zh. **32** No 5, 617-622.

(Aviño) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES,, NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY,, LAS CRUCES, 88003,

*E-mail address*: `mavino@nmsu.edu`